Exhibit B

Freeman Cynthia

December 1, 2016

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

KIMBERLY L. COX, Plaintiff, vs. CIVIL ACTION NO. MEGAN J. BRENNAN, 2:14-CV-00810-JRG-RSP POSTMASTER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATE, U.S. POSTAL) SERVICES,) Defendant.)

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CYNTHIA FREEMAN DECEMBER 1, 2016

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CYNTHIA FREEMAN, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on December 1, 2016, at 2:08 p.m. to 3:51 p.m., before Brenda Hightower Smith, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype machine at the U.S. Attorney's Office, 110 North College, Suite 700, Tyler, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

It is also agreed by all parties present that Federal Rule 30(b)(5) is waived.

reeman Cynthia			
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	2	
3	MS. REBECCA L. FISHER	1	THE COURT REPORTER: What agreements do
4	ATTORNEY AT LAW	3	you want to take this under?
4	618 SW Military Drive P.O. Box 781369	4	MR. VISOSKY: Under the Federal Rules.
5	San Antonio, Texas 78278	09:08:55 5	MS. FISHER: Yeah.
	210.988.2977 (phone)	09:08:58 6	THE COURT REPORTER: I'll ask about
6 7	rebeccafisherlaw@gmail.com (e-mail)	09:09:00 7	signature at the end of the deposition.
8	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	14:08:15 8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record
9	MR. BRADLEY VISOSKY	14:08:16 9	to begin the video recorded deposition of Cynthia
10	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	14:08:19 10	Freeman in the matter of Kimberly L. Cox vs. Megan
10	Assistant United States Attorney	14:08:23 11	Brennan. Today is Thursday, December 1st, 2016, and the
11	Eastern District of Texas	14:08:27 12	time is approximately 2:08 p.m.
12	101 East Park Boulevard, Suite 500 Plano, Texas 75074	1	
12	972.509.1201 (phone)	14:08:30 13	This case is filed in the United States
13	bradley.visosky@usdoj.gov (e-mail)	14:08:32 14	Federal Court for the Eastern District of Texas under
14	- and -	14:08:35 15	Cause No. 2:14-cv-00810-JRG-RSP. And the location is
15	MS. THERESA M. GEGAN U.S. POSTAL SERVICE	14:08:43 16	the office of the United States Attorney at 110 North
16	SOUTHERN AREA LAW OFFICE	14:08:46 17	College Avenue in Tyler, Texas.
17	P. O. Box 227078	14:08:48 18	The court reporter is Brenda Smith and
17	Dallas, Texas 75222-7078 214.252.6178 (phone)	14:08:49 19	the videographer is Jeff Miller.
18	theresa.m.gegen@usps.gov (e-mail)	14:08:53 20	And for the record, will counsel present
19	A GO PREGENT	14:08:55 21	please identify yourselves and who you represent; and
20 21	ALSO PRESENT: TAMRA FISHER	14:08:57 22	after which, the court reporter will swear in the
21	KIMBERLY COX	14:08:59 23	witness.
22		1	
23	VIDEOGRAPHER:	14:09:00 24	MS. FISHER: Rebecca Fisher representing
2.4	IEEE MILLER		d 791 1 2200
24 25	JEFF MILLER Page 3	14:09:02 25	the Plaintiff. Page 5
25	Page 3	14:09:02 25 14:09:02 1	Page 5
25	Page 3 INDEX PAGE		Page S
25 1 2	Page 3 INDEX PAGE	14:09:02 1	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan.
25 1 2 3	PAGE 3 INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan.
1 2 3 4 5	PAGE 3 INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal
25 1 2 3	PAGE INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service.
1 2 3 4 5	PAGE 3 INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 6	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN,
1 2 3 4 5 6	PAGE INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	PAGE INDEX PAGE Appearances. 2 Exhibit Index. 3 Stipulations. 4 CYNTHIA FREEMAN, the witness, Examination by Ms. Fisher 5 Examination by Mr. Visosky 52 Further Examination by Mr. Visosky 75	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN,
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows:
25 1 2 3 4 5 6	PAGE INDEX PAGE Appearances. 2 Exhibit Index. 3 Stipulations. 4 CYNTHIA FREEMAN, the witness, Examination by Ms. Fisher 5 Examination by Mr. Visosky 52 Further Examination by Mr. Visosky 75	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10	Page MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11	Page MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12	Page MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11	Page MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record.
25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14	Page 5 MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15	Page 5 MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:34 16	Page 5 MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case
1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:34 16 14:09:37 17	Page S MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:34 16 14:09:37 17 14:09:39 18 14:09:40 19	Page 5 MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:27 13 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am. Q. And I do want to tell you thank you for being
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:27 13 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am. Q. And I do want to tell you thank you for being present today. I do thank you for coming in. Okay?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am. Q. And I do want to tell you thank you for being present today. I do thank you for coming in. Okay? A. Okay.
1 2 3 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 5 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 8 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:34 16 14:09:37 17 14:09:39 18 14:09:40 19 14:09:40 20 14:09:46 22 14:09:46 23	MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am. Q. And I do want to tell you thank you for being present today. I do thank you for coming in. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And now when have you ever been deposed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PAGE Appearances	14:09:02 1 14:09:04 2 14:09:09 3 14:09:09 4 09:09:32 6 09:09:32 7 09:09:32 9 09:09:32 10 09:09:32 11 14:09:25 12 14:09:27 13 14:09:28 14 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15 14:09:31 15	Page 5 MR. VISOSKY: Bradley Visosky representing the Defendant Megan Brennan. MS. GEGEN: Theresa Gegen for the Postal Service. CYNTHIA FREEMAN, having first been duly sworn, testified under oath as follows: EXAMINATION BY MS. FISHER: Q. Ma'am, would you please state your full name for the record. A. Cynthia Howard Freeman. Q. Okay. Mrs. Freeman, my name is Rebecca Fisher, and I represent Mrs. Kimberly Cox in this case And I know we have not met before, have we, ma'am? A. No, ma'am. Q. And I do want to tell you thank you for being present today. I do thank you for coming in. Okay? A. Okay.

	Page 34		Page 36
14:42:25 1	Q. Okay. But you were given questions that you	14:44:43 1	way than their counterparts?
14:42:28 2	answered; am I correct?	14:44:47 2	A. Let me say, I hear you saying had I had to
14:42:30 3	A. Yes.	14:44:54 3	answer to an allegation, what would have been my
14:42:30 4	Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that even then	14:44:57 4	response?
14:42:34 5	you were not aware that there was a claim of	14:44:58 5	Q. Yes.
14:42:37 6	discrimination?	14:44:59 6	A. My response at that time would have been, who
14:42:38 7	A. I I was on leave or something at that time.	14:45:02 7	and let's talk about it. Because number one, if I'm not
14:42:42 8	Q. Uh-huh.	14:45:08 8	aware, then I have no response. But once I'm made aware
14:42:43 9	A. And FLSA, I wasn't fixing to violate nothing.	14:45:13 9	and how a person feel, then I can respond. You see what
14:42:49 10	So yes, Joe tried to give me her EEO, and I refused it	14:45:17 10	I'm saying?
14:42:53 11	because I wasn't on the clock.	14:45:18 11	Q. I understand what you're saying. And
14:42:55 12	Q. Okay. And did he at that point when you	14:45:20 12	basically I'm making sure I'm getting this correct,
14:42:57 13	say Joe, that's Postmaster McQuiston?	14:45:24 13	Mrs. Freeman.
14:42:59 14	A. Yes. Yes. I'm sorry.	14:45:31 14	What you're saying is if you had become aware
14:43:02 15	Q. I just wanted to make sure. That's for the	14:45:34 15	that there may have been a view by those on the floor
14:43:04 16	record.	14:45:37 16	that there was something discriminatory, unfair,
14:43:06 17	Did he at that point say, hey, you really need	14:45:41 17	A. Yes, ma'am.
14:43:08 18	to look at this; she has an accusation that your	14:45:41 18	Q if you had been aware of that, are you
14:43:12 19	treatment of the white females is discriminatory?	14:45:44 19	saying you would have looked at that and seen what was
14:43:15 20	A. No, ma'am.	14:45:47 20	going on?
14:43:16 21	Q. So not only did he not discuss it prior to her	14:45:48 21	A. Yes, ma'am.
14:43:18 22	termination well, let me let me ask this first	14:45:49 22	Q. Maybe you could even have changed how you were
14:43:23 23	before I go there, Mrs. Freeman.	14:45:52 23	acting if you looked at it and said, well, maybe I need
14:43:25 24	Let's say, okay, we've had up to Mrs. Cox's	14:45:55 24	to tweak that a little bit?
14:43:30 25	termination.	14:45:57 25	MS. FISHER: Object to form.
	Page 35		Page 37
14:43:30 1	A. Okay.	14:46:00 1	Q. (By Ms. Fisher) You understand my question?
14:43:31 2	Q. And she's now terminated.	14:46:02 2	A. I understand. My theory in dealing with
14:43:33 3	A. Okay.	14:46:04 3	people
14:43:33 4	Q. And during the period that she's out of	14:46:05 4	Q. Uh-huh.
14:43:36 5	work let me make sure I've got that clear on the	14:46:05 5	A with my equal opportunity training is, when
14:43:39 6	record.	14:46:08 6	you can meet a person at they point of need what they
14:43:40 7	During the period she's out of work before she	14:46:12 7	might perceive is correct in their mind
14:43:42 8	returns to work, did Postmaster McQuiston ever discuss	14:46:14 8	O Picht
			Q. Right.
14:43:45 9	with you a complaint of discrimination that concerned	14:46:14 9	A because they're perceiving it,
14:43:45 9 14:43:48 10	with you a complaint of discrimination that concerned yourself?	14:46:14 9 14:46:16 10	
		1	A because they're perceiving it,
14:43:48 10	yourself?	14:46:16 10	A because they're perceiving it,Q. Yes.
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11	yourself? A. No, ma'am.	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013,	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay.	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it.
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO.
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman?
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17	 A. — because they're perceiving it, — Q. Yes. A. — there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman? A. Yes, ma'am.
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:49 12 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17 14:44:07 18	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on the workroom floor discriminatorily?	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17 14:46:34 18	A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. How many times have you filed one?
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17 14:44:07 18 14:44:10 19	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on the workroom floor discriminatorily? A. No, ma'am.	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17 14:46:34 18 14:46:36 19	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO, Mrs. Freeman? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. How many times have you filed one? A. Once in my whole career out of 35 years.
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17 14:44:07 18 14:44:10 19 14:44:11 20	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on the workroom floor discriminatorily? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. And once again, no investigation was	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17 14:46:34 18 14:46:36 19 14:46:39 20	 A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. How many times have you filed one? A. Once in my whole career out of 35 years. Q. And when in the in your postal career did
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17 14:44:07 18 14:44:10 19 14:44:11 20 14:44:13 21	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on the workroom floor discriminatorily? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. And once again, no investigation was ever	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17 14:46:34 18 14:46:36 19 14:46:39 20 14:46:45 21	A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman'? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. How many times have you filed one? A. Once in my whole career out of 35 years. Q. And when in the in your postal career did that occur, ma'am?
14:43:48 10 14:43:49 11 14:43:53 13 14:43:54 14 14:43:55 15 14:44:00 16 14:44:04 17 14:44:07 18 14:44:10 19 14:44:11 20 14:44:13 21 14:44:14 22	yourself? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. Now, when Mrs. Cox came back to work in April of 2013, A. Okay. Q did Mr or did Postmaster McQuiston at that point forward ever come to you and say, there's an allegation that you are treating the white females on the workroom floor discriminatorily? A. No, ma'am. Q. Okay. And once again, no investigation was ever A. None whatsoever, to my knowledge.	14:46:16 10 14:46:16 11 14:46:18 12 14:46:21 13 14:46:24 14 14:46:27 15 14:46:33 16 14:46:33 17 14:46:34 18 14:46:36 19 14:46:39 20 14:46:45 21 14:46:46 22	A because they're perceiving it, Q. Yes. A there might have been a time when I might have needed that. There might have been a time when we could have came to an agreement that we both needed that. But unless it's addressed, we can't address it. Q. Okay. Have you yourself ever filed an EEO. Mrs. Freeman? A. Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. How many times have you filed one? A. Once in my whole career out of 35 years. Q. And when in the in your postal career did that occur, ma'am? A. That happened right before my retirement.

		Page 38		Page 40
14:46:56	1	(A.) (It was that I was being mistreated as)	14:49:37 1	sorry
14:47:03	2	management and not being able to perform my duties	14:49:39 2	THE WITNESS: Sure.
14:47:06	3	because of the treatment.	14:49:39 3	MR. VISOSKY: to both of you for
14:47:07	4	Q. Now, did you put that to the fact that you're	14:49:40 4	interpreting.
14:47:11	5	a woman, your age, your race? What what did you	14:49:40 5	But is your EEO case closed or is it
14:47:16	6	apply the mistreatment to?	14:49:43 6	still open?
14:47:19	7	A.) I think I covered my age and my race, and I	14:49:45 7	THE WITNESS: Mine is closed.
14:47:27	8	might even throwed in my religion.	14:49:47 8	MR. VISOSKY: Okay. I just wanted to
14:47:31	9	Q. Okay.	14:49:48 9	make sure she wasn't
14:47:32	10	A.) Right now, I don't know. But I know I did do	14:49:50 10	THE WITNESS: Violating something?
14:47:35	11	age and my race.	14:49:52 11	MR. VISOSKY: Well, just to protect
14:47:36	12	Q. And why did you believe that it was race with	14:49:52 12	since you don't have
14:47:39	13	Joe McQuiston?	14:49:52 13	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14:47:40	14	A. Because of the things that was happening that	14:49:52 14	MR. VISOSKY: representation here for
14:47:43	15	didn't have to happen.	14:49:52 15	your case.
14:47:44	16	Q. Okay. Can you	14:49:54 16	THE WITNESS: No, no. Mine is closed.
14:47:46	17	A. And that's personal stuff that I do not wish	14:49:54 17	MR. VISOSKY: Okay.
14:47:48	18	to discuss.	14:49:55 18	Q. (By Ms. Fisher) Go ahead, ma'am.
14:47:50	19	Q. I understand that. But I need to understand	14:49:56 19	A. In mine in one instance that I can point to
14:47:53	20	what happened and why you think that Joe McQuiston	14:49:59 20	is when you have an employee that come to you
14:47:59	21	discriminated against you because of your race.	14:50:20 21	Q. Yes.
14:48:02	22	A. Well, let me let me just keep it to this	14:50:21 22	A and tell you that the KKK is recruiting on
14:48:07	23	case. Can we do that?	14:50:26 23	they route and the Postmaster does nothing about it,
14:48:09	24	Q. I'm sorry, ma'am, but I need to understand why	14:50:31 24	have a issue with that, being black.
14:48:12	25	you thought it was race with Joe McQuiston. I am sorry,	14:50:36 25	Q. I can understand that, Mrs. Freeman.
		Page 39		Page 41
14:48:16	1	but our case does involve a race allegation. And I	14:50:43 1	Did you report that to Postmaster McQuiston?
14:48:20	2	don't want something to come out later I don't know	14:50:45 2	A. Yes.
14:48:23	3	about.	14:50:46 3	Q. And what was his response?
14:48:24	4	A. Okay. My mine was based on not being able	14:50:49 4	A. That he didn't believe it. It was no big
	5	to do my job properly because I hadn't been trained		
14:48:28			14:50:53 5	deal.
	6		14:50:53 5	
14:48:32		properly.		deal. Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time?
14:48:32 14:48:37	6 7	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time?
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40	6 7 8	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail?	14:50:57 6	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time?A. No. Other than just being right mean, no.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42	6 7 8 9	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time?A. No. Other than just being right mean, no.THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42	6 7 8 9	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8	 Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"?
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:42	6 7 8 9 10 11	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster?	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11	 Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51	6 7 8 9 10 11	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you,
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race?	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:00 14:49:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:10	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes.
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12 14:49:22 14:49:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO,
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:51 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12 14:49:22 14:49:24	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh. Q that can be said or done.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19 14:51:35 20	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO, Mrs. Freeman?
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:00 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:22 14:49:24 14:49:24 14:49:28	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh. Q that can be said or done. A. Uh-huh.	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 11 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19 14:51:33 20 14:51:38 21	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO, Mrs. Freeman? A. To pay me my sick leave according to the
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12 14:49:22 14:49:24 14:49:28 14:49:28	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh. Q that can be said or done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Did anything come to mind?	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19 14:51:33 20 14:51:38 21 14:51:47 22	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO, Mrs. Freeman? A. To pay me my sick leave according to the Family Medical Leave Act, to stop denying my retirement
14:48:32 14:48:37 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:48:54 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:12 14:49:22 14:49:24 14:49:28 14:49:30	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh. Q that can be said or done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Did anything come to mind? A. Yes. The one incident that comes to mind	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19 14:51:35 20 14:51:38 21 14:51:38 21 14:51:37 22	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO, Mrs. Freeman? A. To pay me my sick leave according to the Family Medical Leave Act, to stop denying my retiremen papers, and just let me go.
14:48:28 14:48:32 14:48:40 14:48:42 14:48:44 14:48:50 14:48:51 14:49:00 14:49:10 14:49:12 14:49:24 14:49:24 14:49:24 14:49:28 14:49:30 14:49:33 14:49:33	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	properly. Q. In other words, you did is your assertion that you were set up to fail? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that that was the responsibility of your Postmaster? A. To train me properly? Yes, ma'am. Q. Okay. Did Postmaster McQuiston ever say anything to you that was derogatory regarding race? A. No. Q. Okay. And sometimes there are very blatant racial things that can be said; and sometimes there's very subtle racial things A. Uh-huh. Q that can be said or done. A. Uh-huh. Q. Did anything come to mind?	14:50:57 6 14:50:59 7 14:51:02 8 14:51:05 9 14:51:05 10 14:51:05 12 14:51:08 13 14:51:14 14 14:51:21 15 14:51:22 16 14:51:25 17 14:51:27 18 14:51:33 19 14:51:33 20 14:51:38 21 14:51:47 22	Q. Was there anything else that you can think of at this time? A. No. Other than just being right mean, no. THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say "right mean"? THE WITNESS: Right mean. THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Q. (By Ms. Fisher) And how old are you, Mrs. Freeman? A. I'm 61. Q. Okay. So old enough to have seen some of the civil rights actions? A. Yes. Q. What was the final resolution of that EEO, Mrs. Freeman? A. To pay me my sick leave according to the Family Medical Leave Act, to stop denying my retiremen

			Т		
1		Page 54			Page 56
15:22:55	1	Q. Would you treat an employee differently	15:25:39	1	same way that you treat other employees? Did she ever
15:22:57	2 be	cause of their gender?	15:25:43	2	once come to you and tell you that?
15:22:59	3	A. No.	15:25:44	3	A. No.
15:23:04	4	Q. Did you treat Kim Cox any differently because	15:25:58	4	Q. When Ms. Fisher was questioning you, you had
15:23:09	5 sh	e was a white female as opposed to a black female or a	15:26:03	5	spoken about your EEO case
15:23:12	6 wl	ite man?	15:26:05	6	A. Uh-huh.
15:23:13	7	A. No.	15:26:05	7	Q involving Mr. McQuiston?
15:23:14	8	Q. If another employee of whatever race, I mean,	15:26:08	8	A. Uh-huh.
15:23:18	9 y o	u name it	15:26:08	9	Q. Do you recall that?
15:23:19 1	0	A. Uh-huh.	15:26:11	10	I just want to talk about the term
15:23:19 1	1	Q black man, Hispanic female, whatever had	15:26:13	(11)	"retaliation."
15:23:25 1	2 d o	ne the things that Kim Cox had done and by that I	15:26:14	12	A. Okay.
15:23:29 1	3 m	an just substitute Kim Cox and the other raced	15:26:15	13	Q. And for purposes for our purposes right
15:23:35 1	4 en	ployee, you know, a black man, a white a white man,	15:26:18	14	now, I'm going to define that as when an employee
15:23:43 1	5 wl	atever	15:26:22	15	engages in a protected activity.
15:23:43 1	6	A. Okay.	15:26:24	16	Like for example, if an employee files an EEO
15:23:44 1	7	Q had acted the same way that Kim Cox had	15:26:27	17	case, I mean the law says if you file an EEO case,
15:23:47 1	8 d o	ne	15:26:31	18	engage in protected activity, management and employer
15:23:48 1	9	I'm sorry, let me let me rephrase	15:26:36	19	can't retaliate or punish
15:23:52 2	0 tha	ıt.	15:26:39	20	A. Uh-huh.
15:23:57 2	1	If someone of a if an employee of a	(15:26:40)	21	Q that employee for engaging in EEO activity.
15:24:00 2	2 di	ferent gender and race than Kim Cox had done the	15:26:43	22	A. Right.
15:24:03 2	3 ex	act same thing, been the same type of employee, done	15:26:43	23	Q. Based on your experience with Mr. McQuiston,
15:24:07 2	4 the	same type of work that Kim Cox did during your time	15:26:49	24	did he have the propensity to retaliate against
15:24:11 2	5 as	her supervisor, would you have treated that employee	15:26:55	25	employees for engaging in protected activity as I've
15:24:15	1 a				
15:24:18		ny differently than you treated Kim Cox?	15:26:59	1	just defined it?
	2	A. No. I think one of the things that we're	(15:27:03)	2	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make
15:24:20	2 3 fi	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set	(15:27:03) (15:27:06)	23	(A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to
15:24:20 15:24:26	2 3 fi 4 u	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set o is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you	(15:27:03) (15:27:06) (15:27:11)	(2) (3) (4)	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that	(15:27:03) (15:27:06) (15:27:11) (15:27:14)	(2) (3) (4) (5)	(A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that?
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18	(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21	2 3 4 5 6	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case.
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to 8 d	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of.	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? (Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay.
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45	2 fi d 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:45	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tt 8 d 9	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:45	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:45 15:24:52 15:24:53	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9 10 cc 11 cc 112 y	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred aring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking ertain things. And at that point, it's going to cause out to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:32	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case?
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:52 15:24:53 15:24:51	3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9 10 cc 11 cc 112 y 113 a 1	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause out to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? (Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? (A. No. No.)
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:55 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:24:58	3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 6 9 10 cc 11 cc 12 y 113 a 114 cc 114	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system to approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking extain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a onference call, I have to explain why.	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee (files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:55 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04	3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to 6 8 d 9 9 10 co 11 co 12 y 13 a 14 co 15	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system to approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking the ertain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a onference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40 15:27:40	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:52 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04 15:25:06 15:25:09	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9 9 10 c 11 c 12 y 13 a 14 c 15 16 d d	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You had have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal.	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:40 15:27:43 15:27:43	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:45 15:24:52 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04 15:25:06 15:25:09 15:25:12	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to 8 d 9 10 co 11 co 11 2 y 13 a 14 co 15 16 d 17	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right.	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40 15:27:43 15:27:48 15:27:48	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:55 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04 15:25:02 15:25:03 15:25:03 15:25:03 15:25:03	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to 8 d 9 10 co 11 co 12 y 13 a 14 co 15 15 16 d 17 18	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking extain things. And at that point, it's going to cause out to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and aprove that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And and was it any of your actions that	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:48 15:27:55 15:27:59	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office.
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04 15:25:06 15:25:13 15:25:13	3 fi 4 u 5 d 4 u 5 d 5 d 6 I 7 tc 6 d 9 0 11 cc 12 y 13 a 14 cc 15 16 d 17 18 19 y 19 19 y 19 19 19 y 19 19 19 19 y 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 y 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system to approve it because of something that might occurred aring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking extain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And — and was it — any of your actions that ou took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:48 15:27:48 15:27:59 15:27:59 15:28:03	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee (files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. [It was not my job to go to the floor to]
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:55 15:24:55 15:25:01 15:25:06 15:25:06 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12	2 3 ffi 4 u, 5 d 4 17 to 6 17 to 6 19 20 th	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred aring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You had have had traffic backed up that was blocking extain things. And at that point, it's going to cause but to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and happrove that time. And then the next morning during a honference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was boing something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And and was it any of your actions that but took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions at you took done because of her race or gender?	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:38 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:55 15:27:59 15:27:59 15:28:03 15:28:06	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. It was not my job to go to the floor to badmouth Mr. McQuiston, like I would never badmouth any
15:24:20 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:49 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:04 15:25:04 15:25:18 15:25:18 15:25:18 15:25:12	2 3 ffi 4 u, 5 d 4 17 tt 4 8 d 9 9 10 cc 11 cc 12 yy 13 a; 14 cc 15 16 d 17 18 19 y 20 th 221	A. No. I think one of the things that we're nding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred aring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You ould have had traffic backed up that was blocking ertain things. And at that point, it's going to cause ou to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and oprove that time. And then the next morning during a onference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And and was it any of your actions that ou took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions at you took done because of her race or gender? A. No.	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:40 15:27:40 15:27:48 15:27:48 15:27:55 15:27:59 15:28:03 15:28:06 15:28:11	2 3 4 5 6 2 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case. A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. It was not my job to go to the floor to badmouth Mr. McQuiston, like I would never badmouth any employee with another employee. That's not my make-up.
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:52 15:24:52 15:25:01 15:25:06 15:25:02 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12 15:25:12	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 4 1 5 d 5 d 6 I 7 tc 8 d 9 9 10 c 11 c 12 y 13 a 14 c 15 16 d 17 18 19 y 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You will have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And — and was it — any of your actions that you took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions at you took done because of her race or gender? A. No. Q. Did Mrs. Cox when you were her supervisor, did	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:40 15:27:43 15:27:48 15:27:48 15:27:55 15:27:59 15:28:06 15:28:11 15:28:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. It was not my job to go to the floor to badmouth Mr. McQuiston, like I would never badmouth any employee with another employee. That's not my make-up. So even though I'm going through my own personal trials,
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:52 15:24:55 15:24:55 15:25:01 15:25:06 15:25:09 15:25:12 15:25:13 15:25:13 15:25:14 15:25:14 15:25:15 15:25:17 15:25:18 15:25:21 15:25:21 15:25:21	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 4 1 5 d 5 d 9 10 c 11 c 12 y 13 a 14 c 15 16 d 17 18 19 y 12 2 1 12 2 2 3 sl	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred uring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You could have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And and was it any of your actions that you took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions at you took done because of her race or gender? A. No. Q. Did Mrs. Cox when you were her supervisor, did the ever come to you and tell you, Mrs. Freeman, you're	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:18 15:27:21 15:27:25 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:34 15:27:40 15:27:43 15:27:48 15:27:48 15:27:55 15:27:59 15:28:06 15:28:11 15:28:14 15:28:17	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay. Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. It was not my job to go to the floor to badmouth Mr. McQuiston, like I would never badmouth any employee with another employee. That's not my make-up. So even though I'm going through my own personal trials, they was not aware of it because I refused to talk about
15:24:20 15:24:26 15:24:34 15:24:38 15:24:42 15:24:45 15:24:52 15:24:55 15:24:58 15:25:01 15:25:06 15:25:09 15:25:12 15:25:13 15:25:13 15:25:14 15:25:14 15:25:17 15:25:18 15:25:18 15:25:21 15:25:21	2 3 fi 4 u 5 d 6 I 7 to 8 d 9 10 co 11 co 11 12 y 13 a 14 co 11 5 l 6 d 17 18 l 9 y 12 2 1 12 2 2 3 sl 2 2 4 n	A. No. I think one of the things that we're inding here is the system that the Post Office has set to is that XYZ said you can do this in XYZ time. If you to it past that time, my job is to question why so that can make a decision at that time to go in the system approve it because of something that might occurred arring the carrying of the mail that I was not aware of. You could have had an accident somewhere. You will have had traffic backed up that was blocking entain things. And at that point, it's going to cause to to be delayed. Then I have to go in the system and approve that time. And then the next morning during a conference call, I have to explain why. So any time I approached Mrs. Cox, it was being something job related. It wasn't personal. Q. Right. And — and was it — any of your actions that you took with respect to Mrs. Cox, were those actions at you took done because of her race or gender? A. No. Q. Did Mrs. Cox when you were her supervisor, did	15:27:03 15:27:06 15:27:11 15:27:14 15:27:21 15:27:23 15:27:25 15:27:29 15:27:32 15:27:32 15:27:34 15:27:40 15:27:43 15:27:48 15:27:48 15:27:55 15:27:59 15:28:06 15:28:11 15:28:14	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. What I'm hearing you say, and let me make sure, you're asking me if he had the fortitude to retaliate against somebody because somebody said something that he didn't agree with? Am I hearing that? Q. No. Let — let me ask you: Say an employee files an EEO case, A. Okay, Q. Is he the type of person if he — if he finds out that that employee filed an EEO case, is he the type of person based on your experience and understanding that would punish that employee for filing the EEO case? A. No. No. For the thing that I didn't go into and I was speaking on my behalf only, nothing else, was the fact that my area of responsibility was not performed to the best of my ability because the limitations that were put on me in that office. It was not my job to go to the floor to badmouth Mr. McQuiston, like I would never badmouth any employee with another employee. That's not my make-up. So even though I'm going through my own personal trials,

		Page 74			Page 76
15:47:05	1	And that I did when I was in Flint only	15:49:10	1	move on. And that was my outlook on stuff. Hey, just
15:47:09	2	because you didn't have people to carry the mail and it	15:49:13	2	move on. It's not that serious.
15:47:11	3	was a rural route. So that part.	15:49:16	3	MR. VISOSKY: Thank you, Mrs. Freeman.
15:47:13	4	But to say me walking the streets and carrying	15:49:18	4	MS. FISHER: Thank you, Mrs. Freeman.
15:47:16	5	mail like they do, the city carriers, no, ma'am.	15:49:20	5	THE WITNESS: Is that it?
15:47:19	6	Q. Okay. Now, I just want to make sure and	15:49:21	6	MS. FISHER: I think that's it.
15:47:23	7	verify on on the purposes of the record, that by your	15:49:23	7	Don't forget your mic.
15:47:28	8	personal observations, you did feel as though Joe	15:49:23	8	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm going to leave
15:47:32	9	McQuiston had retaliated against you?	15:49:23	9	the mic.
15:47:36	10	MR. VISOSKY: Object to form.	15:49:23	10	(Discussion off the record.)
15:47:38		A. I feel that he was being mean and spiteful	15:49:28	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record
15:47:41		knowing he had to do what he was not wanting to do	15:49:29	12	at 3:49, and that concludes the deposition.
15:47:45		anyhow. And I could wait him out.	15:49:43		THE COURT REPORTER: What do y'all want
15:47:49		Q. (By Ms. Fisher) Okay.	15:49:44	14	to do about signature?
15:47:50		A. Most people in the Post Office can't wait him	15:49:51		MS. FISHER: Mrs. Freeman, you have the
15:47:53		out. But I wasn't set up like that. I was getting	15:49:52		right to read this deposition and to make corrections.
15:47:55		ready to retire, so three months of pay didn't affect me	15:49:56		Do you want the deposition sent straight to you to do
15:47:59		as worse as it would have somebody else.	15:50:00		that, or how do you want to do that?
15:48:02		My thing was just get the paperwork ball and	15:50:03		THE WITNESS: That's fine. Send it to
15:48:05		go, and let me get the heck out of here. The Post	15:50:03		105 East 25th Street, Tyler, Texas 75702-2112.
15:48:08		Office has been good to me. And I would hate for		21	(Proceedings concluded at 3:51 p.m.)
15:48:12		anything to scar that.	10.01.13	22	(Frocedings concluded at 5.51 p.m.)
15:48:14		Q. In other words, you don't have any ault		23	
15:48:14		(spelled phonetically) against the United States Postal		24	
15:48:17		Service?		25	
10.10.17	20	Service.			
		Page 75			Page 77
15:48:17	1	A. No. They've been good to me and my family.	15:51:19	1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
15:48:20	2	Q. Okay. Well, I understand that. And I thank	15:51:19	2	
15:48:21	3			2	
15:48:22		you for your time.	15:51:19	3	WITNESS: CYNTHIA FREEMAN
10.40:22	4	you for your time. A. Thank you.		4	
15:48:22	4 5	you for your time. A. Thank you. MS, FISHER: Pass the witness.	15:51:19		WITNESS: CYNTHIA FREEMAN DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
		A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness.	15:51:19 15:51:19	4	
15:48:24	5	A. Thank you.	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24	5 6 7	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
15:48:24 15:48:24	5 6	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing.	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24	5 6 7 8 9	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25	5 6 7 8 9	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27	5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way.	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:30 15:48:30	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that.	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 1 15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:25 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:31	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:33	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:31 15:48:34 15:48:34	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:33	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was	15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:40 15:48:40	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you	15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:44 15:48:44	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because	15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:40 15:48:43 15:48:40 15:48:43	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because they had filed that EEO complaint?	15:51:19 1	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 20 0	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:27 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:34 15:48:34 15:48:34 15:48:40 15:48:43 15:48:40 15:48:50 15:48:50	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because they had filed that EEO complaint? A. No. My training through EEO from when I first	15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 0 0 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:27 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:34 15:48:46 15:48:45 15:48:50 15:48:52	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because they had filed that EEO complaint? A. No. My training through EEO from when I first came in the Post Office where they actually sent you to	15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 0 0 1 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:25 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:38 15:48:40 15:48:40 15:48:50 15:48:50	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because they had filed that EEO complaint? A. No. My training through EEO from when I first came in the Post Office where they actually sent you to school for this, was that you can disagree and agree to	15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:24 15:48:27 15:48:27 15:48:30 15:48:31 15:48:33 15:48:34 15:48:34 15:48:46 15:48:45 15:48:50 15:48:52	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Thank you. MS. FISHER: Pass the witness. MR. VISOSKY: Just one thing. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. VISOSKY: Q. We talked earlier about the decisions that that you would make with respect to well, let me just ask it this way. It MR. VISOSKY: Scratch that. Q. (By Mr. Visosky) If you knew that an employee had filed an EEO complaint, say a carrier had filed an EEO complaint complaining about you, and you became aware that they filed that EEO complaint and it was resolved and that employee came back to work, would you hold that against them or punish them in any way because they had filed that EEO complaint? A. No. My training through EEO from when I first came in the Post Office where they actually sent you to	15:51:19 15:51:19	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8	DATE OF DEPOSITION: DECEMBER 1, 2016 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON

